

Author Guidelines

About Drug Court Review

Published annually, the *Drug Court Review*'s goal is to keep the treatment court practitioner abreast of important new developments in the treatment court field. Treatment courts demand a great deal of time and energy of the practitioner, allowing little opportunity to read lengthy evaluations or keep up with the latest research. Yet, the ability to marshal scientific and research information and "argue the facts" can be critical to a program's success and ultimate survival.

The *Drug Court Review* is a peer-reviewed, open-access journal that builds a bridge between law, science, and clinical communities, providing a resource that is accessible and of interest to all. Although the *Drug Court Review* emphasizes scholarship and scientific research, it also provides commentary from experts in the treatment court and related fields that treatment court practitioners can apply to their everyday work. Each volume of the *Drug Court Review* focuses on a theme central to the field and features the results of research conducted by both scholars and practitioners.

The *Drug Court Review* invites submission of manuscripts focusing on topics relevant to the treatment court field. These may be in the form of full-length original research articles, scholarly reviews, or case studies. In addition to thematic articles as described in the *Call for Submissions*, editors will consider submissions related to other treatment courtrelevant topics, such as drug testing, case management, cost analysis, program evaluation, legal issues, application of incentives and sanctions, assessment, treatment strategies, etc.

Drug Court Review Journal Structure (organized by section)

- 1. Research in the field:
 - a. articles organized around the theme of equity & inclusion
 - b. articles regarding treatment courts not related to the theme
- 2. Research spotlight:
 - An overview of an article focusing on treatment courts that was published in another peer-reviewed journal.
 - > The focus of all research spotlights will be on the major findings & implications for research, policy, practice, etc.
- 3. Expert commentary:
 - > An overview of what we know about a specific topic relevant to treatment courts.
 - > The focus of expert commentary pieces will be on what we know and what we still need to know, with the hope that readers will take up these research questions in future studies.

Guidelines continue on next page





Article Manuscript Submission

Authors should submit manuscripts through the *Drug Court Review* online submission portal. A call for submissions will be generated annually with guidance on the thematic topic for each volume, due dates, etc. and authors should prepare submissions accordingly. Manuscripts should focus on the applications and implications of research findings and should minimize the use of scientific or technical jargon. Manuscripts for full-length articles, research reviews and case studies are welcome.

The NDCRC regards the submission of a manuscript to the *Drug Court Review* as a commitment to publish herein; a simultaneous submission to another journal is not permitted.

Authors register and submit manuscripts through the *Drug Court Review* journal submission portal (<u>dcr.ndcrc.org</u>). Manuscripts must meet the following minimum requirements, or they may be returned to the author(s) without undergoing review:

- > All documents saved as Microsoft Word documents (e.g. doc or docx)
- > APA format
 - Double-spaced
 - 12-point font
 - · 1-inch margins at the top, bottom, left and right
- > Title Page Document
 - · Manuscript title
 - List of authors and their affiliations
 - · Corresponding author's contact information (email, phone number, mailing address)
 - Brief one-paragraph biographical sketch for each author
- > Manuscript Document (blinded)
 - · Pages limits are inclusive of text, tables, charts, figures, and references
 - Maximum of 30 pages for full length article
 - 5-10 pages for Research Spotlight and Expert Commentary
 - · All author names and identifying information must be removed
 - · Title at top of first page
 - An abstract (maximum of 200 words)
 - List of 4 keywords
 - · Any notes should be numbered and presented as footnotes
 - Tables and Figures in a separate document numbered consecutively by type throughout the article (see below). Insert a location note in the appropriate place of the manuscript text.
 - For example: [Table 1 about here]
 - Citations and References: APA format (7th edition)
- > Tables and Figures Document
 - Numbered consecutively by type (Table 1, Table 2, Figure 1, Table 3, Figure 2)
 - Each figure and table (even small ones) should be on a separate page.
- > Attestation Document
 - An explicit statement that the submission reflects the original work of the author(s) and is submitted solely to the Drug Court Review.
 - An explicit statement of IRB approval must accompany any submission involving research with human subjects.







Peer Review Process

Following initial review by the editor, each manuscript will undergo a double-blind peer-review process. The editor will assign manuscripts to two to three subject matter experts highly familiar with the topic and/or methodology. Experts may include practitioners, scientists, policymakers, and/or administrators.

Peer-reviewers are asked to provide written critiques suitable to be communicated directly to the author(s). Peerreviewers are also asked to make an editorial recommendation:

- Accept as is
- Accept with minor revisions
- Revise and resubmit

Papers may be accepted, rejected, or returned to the authors at any point in the peer-review process. The editor will provide authors with the Editorial Board's decision and blinded peer reviews. If revisions are requested to a manuscript, they must be received by the editor within 60 days, unless prior approval is granted for an extension.

Please direct all inquiries regarding the manuscripts and the submission and peer-review processes to the National Drug Court Resource Center (NDCRC) at dcrjournal@uncw.edu

